

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,920	08/24/2001	J. Michael Milliorn	P02167US0	3498
26271	7590 11/21/2003		EXAMINER	
	IT & JAWORSKI, LLP		AHMAD,	NASSER
1301 MCKIN SUITE 5100			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			1772	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6 as			ahq
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/938,920	MILLIORN ET AL.	
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing a FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	,
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S . ,
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 22-38.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1772 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the method of Voy may be different but the claimed invention is directed to a label product and, as mentioned in the last Office Action of August 28, 2003, paragraph-5, the process is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product, and hence, the claimed process steps have not been given patentable weight.